

1 W. H. Jennings 181
2 netted with other items and booked in
3 the partners distribution. Is that an
4 acceptable practice for Dalton?
5 A Not based on its face what you're
6 telling me.
7 Q Water and sewer recorded on the
8 auditor's adjusting journal statement
9 reported zero dollars but as in the
10 first example the amount is netted with
11 other amounts and recorded as a
12 distribution?
13 A That makes absolutely no sense to
14 me.
15 Q Well, if again, the water and
16 sewer charge was netted and put in zero
17 dollars a distribution column would that
18 not tend to distort account balances?
19 A Well, it depends on what the
20 facts and circumstances are. If the
21 facts and circumstances are deemed to be
22 that they're looking at 2007 and these
23 are adjustments for 2006 then it is
24 possible because he closed out the P and
25 L, the profit and loss, as I've stated

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 182
2 several times before, to this
3 distribution account which he deemed to
4 equate to a capital account which in my
5 mind if that is the case it distorts
6 nothing.
7 If you're telling me that for
8 that year that there was absolutely no
9 water and sewer expense on the books and
10 records then I would have issue with it
11 but I think you're mixing up the fact.
12 Based on what you're telling me now it
13 appears that Mr. Dawley is making the
14 adjustments in a subsequent year.
15 Q Again, if these things are being
16 made in a subsequent year why wouldn't
17 he be able to print the detail of the
18 current year?
19 A If you have the general ledger
20 and you have the adjustments in your
21 possession then there should be no
22 question as to where these expenses
23 went.
24 Q But if the general ledger does
25 not contain all of the proposed journal

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 183
2 entries then you'd be totally lost,
3 right?
4 A No, not at all.
5 Q Well, you'd have to see his
6 financial statement, you'd have to see
7 the audit report.
8 A The audit report is the final
9 document. That is the report. You
10 start with the general ledger, a trial
11 balance is taken off and adjustments are
12 proposed and if they are accepted those
13 adjustments are made to the trial
14 balance to arrive in a financial
15 statement. And if you have those
16 documents, the general ledger and the
17 adjusting journal entries it's very easy
18 to have a trial as to what transpired.
19 Q But the problem that these
20 accountants had was that Dalton had no
21 evidentiary information to support the
22 changes, that they were just being
23 presented as a fait accompli, as a done
24 deal. They were just being, well, this
25 is what it is to Dalton and Dalton

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 184
2 didn't seem to know why, how, where nor
3 did they appear to care what was being
4 done with these journal entries; isn't
5 that the case?
6 A I'd have to defer to Orley. I
7 mean the fact that he wouldn't produce
8 mortgage documents that are in his
9 possession.
10 Q But you don't know them to be in
11 his possession?
12 A They have to be in his
13 possession.
14 Q They should be in his possession,
15 we all agree on that, right?
16 A Otherwise they wouldn't have a
17 copy of the important pages.
18 Q Is there a likelihood that they
19 simply passed that material onto Marks
20 Paneth & Shron and let you sort it out?
21 A Absolutely not.
22 Q Well, with the dearth of
23 information that the accountants have
24 testified to that Dalton had or at least
25 said it had in its possession and with

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 185
2 its willingness to just pass any request
3 onto Marks Paneth & Shron and with your
4 compliance in responding to these
5 requests would it at some point suggest
6 or infer that Dalton really wasn't in
7 the business of keeping these materials?
8 A No.
9 Q They certainly weren't keeping it
10 well.
11 A That's a matter of opinion. My
12 belief is that they had the documents.
13 They were keeping them and it's not my
14 only client who defers to the
15 accountants because it's much easier to
16 call up an accountant and say, "produce
17 this document for me. I need you to send
18 it to XYZ," rather than the typical
19 excuse is, "I don't want to go to my
20 file."
21 Q In point in fact the process of
22 such it becomes much easier to let the
23 auditors do the accounting; doesn't it?
24 A No.
25 Q When an excessive, if it is

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 186
2 excessive, number of journal entries are
3 made by an auditor and the general
4 ledger is rendered irrelevant to the
5 final statement, at what point do we
6 conclude that it is the auditor doing
7 the accounting and not Dalton
8 Management?
9 A It may be a deficiency in
10 internal control but it's not accounting
11 or bookkeeping, if that's what you're
12 inferring.
13 Q The experience reported by
14 Cameron, Griffiths & Pryce suggests that
15 there was no control at Dalton on any of
16 this and that all of it was being given
17 to Marks Paneth & Shron and that Marks
18 Paneth & Shron was doing it?
19 MR. TRAUB: Can we mark that
20 report as an exhibit?
21 MR. HAYWOODE: I'm sorry?
22 MR. TRAUB: Can we mark that
23 report as an exhibit?
24 MR. HAYWOODE: I will
25 certainly make it available to

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 187
2 you. I don't want to mark it on
3 the record but I will make it
4 available to you because we had
5 it classified as a work product
6 but I have no problem giving it
7 directly to counsel. I don't see
8 any reason why it should be in
9 this record because he's
10 testified about it.
11 MR. TRAUB: I just want to
12 state that we've asked for that
13 in our discovery request. That
14 was also the subject of our
15 deficiency letter and Judge
16 Francis' subsequent order and we
17 still have not received the
18 report that you just referred to.
19 MR. HAYWOODE: Well, this is
20 work product.
21 MR. TRAUB: The work product
22 of the accounting firm is the
23 exact subject matter of this
24 entire complaint and you can't
25 then hide behind work product

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 188
2 when you're referring to reports
3 of the accountants that have
4 started this entire complaint.
5 It's not work product.
6 MR. HAYWOODE: Well, I'm
7 certainly going to provide it to
8 you.
9 MR. TRAUB: Okay.
10 MR. HAYWOODE: I disagree with
11 you. I think it's work product
12 as we specifically requested that
13 it has specific items but however
14 that is I have no objection to
15 providing you with copies of it.
16 Q The fact that the consulting
17 monies raised on these contracts, on
18 these consultant fees and what not, so
19 far exceed the audit fees, do you see
20 that as tending to work against
21 independent analyses?
22 A No.
23 Q And the fact that there are
24 fourteen such developments and possibly
25 others concerned with this client

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 189
2 generating what you recall to be
3 \$300,000 a year, though it sounds like a
4 little more than that, that doesn't
5 create the tendency in Marks Paneth &
6 Shron to go beyond its normal
7 obligations and to do things that
8 otherwise might not have been done?
9 A Absolutely not.
10 Q Now, did it come to your
11 attention that Cameron, Griffiths &
12 Pryce found that Dalton Management takes
13 no responsibility for the excessive
14 journal entries recorded for each
15 partnership and that when asked to
16 explain, they simply responded the
17 auditor made those entries and will have
18 to explain them; did that come to your
19 attention that Dalton was saying that?
20 A No, because we have a management
21 representation letter that says just the
22 opposite.
23 Q And that Mr. Dawley stated to
24 Cameron, Griffiths & Pryce that the
25 reason he can't trace the journal

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 190
2 entries to the general ledger is that he
3 booked them against the distribution
4 accounts?
5 A But again, if he's referring to
6 the prior year journal entries he's
7 closing out the profit and loss and
8 that's how he's recorded these journal
9 entries.
10 Q Did it come to your attention
11 that Cameron, Griffiths & Pryce saw no
12 indication on the journal entries that
13 Dalton approved any of the entries which
14 were made by the auditor?
15 A I have to look at the management
16 representation letter.
17 Q Now, directing your attention to
18 Church Home, in the money market account
19 carrying a balance of \$49,614 after
20 Dalton wrote a check for \$322,221
21 representing a distribution made to the
22 partners. The check was taken from one
23 account and was deposited in an account
24 called Church Home Associates Two. Are
25 you familiar with such an account?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 191
2 A Yes.
3 Q How many accounts does Church
4 Home maintain?
5 A There's an operating account and
6 there's a distribution account.
7 Q As it was not recorded in the
8 general ledger it was not subject to
9 audit testing and Dawley said he had no
10 access to this bank statement.
11 A The statement is not true.
12 MR. KELLY: Wait for him to ask
13 a question, then answer.
14 Q So that in your opinion Dawley
15 did have access to that statement?
16 A Yes.
17 Q But as a matter of propriety in
18 doing things right it is a fact, is it
19 not, that all transactions pertaining to
20 the partnership ought to be in the
21 general ledger, that is a fact; is that
22 correct?
23 A Yes.
24 MR. TRAUB: I'm just going to
25 go back on the record again and

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 192
2 just state that if you were just
3 reading from the report of
4 Cameron, Griffiths & Pryce that
5 that report was supposed to have
6 been produced on Friday and if
7 you have it here we would like a
8 copy before we leave.
9 MR. HAYWOODE: Darren, I take
10 exception to your statement that
11 it should have been produced on
12 Friday, but as I say, I'm going
13 to produce them to you right now.
14 MR. KELLY: Thank you.
15 MR. HAYWOODE: Let's mark this
16 and this page and then we'll make
17 copies of this report.
18 (Caanan IV distributions for
19 year ending 12/31/06 was
20 marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibits
21 10 for identification, as of
22 this date.)
23 (Logan Plaza Associates
24 adjusting journal entries
25 report was marked as

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

193

Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 for identification, as of this date.)

Q Mr. Jennings, I show you Exhibit 10. With regards to Caanan IV the document recites a distribution which shows a total of \$312,221.01 and the document did not go through the general ledger. This came out of the Caanan II account but it came out of the Caanan II account as \$322,221, you may recall. What happened to the other \$10,000 for purposes of this distribution, if you know?

A \$10,000 according to the partnership agreement is a fee paid off the top as a general partner fee. The distributions on Caanan IV are limited to surplus cash for the prior year. The regulations require them to do a surplus cash computation every year. They cannot distribute more than the surplus cash. If these payments were indeed made in 2006, that means you would look

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

194

at the 2005 financial statement and see what the surplus cash was determined, was certified to.

\$322,000 rings a bell with me so of the \$322,221 pursuant to the partnership agreement, the surplus cash how they distribute it there are no restrictions, according to HUD. Once that surplus cash is due to the partners they may have a responsibility to pay it to the partners but they can do anything they want with it.

In this case the \$10,000 in the partnership agreement is, and this is very common practice, the \$10,000 comes off the top of the surplus cash, the \$322,000 is paid to the general partner as a general partner fee. The balance is distributed in accordance with the profit and loss percentage to the limited partners.

MR. TRAUB: Mel, can we just clarify on the record whose handwriting the middle is, if you

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

195

know.

MR. HAYWOODE: I have no idea. I'm going to assume that it was one of the peoples who handled these inquiries for us.

MR. TRAUB: I just wanted to make sure that it wasn't a Seavey handwriting or a Marks Paneth & Shron.

MR. HAYWOODE: It may have been some of the people in my office. I can't be sure.

MR. TRAUB: Okay, thank you.

Q Now, let's see, in Exhibit 11, Mr. Jennings, --

MR. KELLY: Exhibit 11 hasn't been given to the witness yet.

Q There is from Logan Plaza, and we raised this earlier, a distribution listed as \$665,185, yet the actual distribution made to the partners for Logan in 2006 was \$260,000 of which John admits to have and the Seavey interest took the other half. How does the

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

196

distribution read \$665,185 when in point in fact it only shows \$260,000 being paid up?

A If you had been in my profession you would know that it's a credit to distribution therefore it couldn't have been a distribution because if it was a distribution it would have had a debit balance. So first of all, let's --

Q Let me stop you for a second.

So that you mentioned something called a credit distribution and that is different, I believe, in kind from a distribution; is that correct?

A Incorrect.

Q Okay, go ahead.

A This is what we discussed several times before. As a matter of fact, you brought this up. Why do they charge these expenses to distributions? Because when they close out the prior year it appears that they charge everything to a distribution account. This is a close out of the distribution.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 197
2 This came from your examination. Why
3 these charges --
4 Q Let me stop you again. Are you
5 saying that Dalton did this?
6 A Dalton did what?
7 Q Marked this distribution as
8 \$665,000 or is that something Marks
9 Paneth & Shron did?
10 A It's not a distribution. This is
11 accounting.
12 Q Who structured it in this way;
13 Dalton or Marks Paneth & Shron?
14 A These are all capital counts. If
15 you look at partners capital, retained
16 earnings, distribution, accumulated
17 income at loss, partners capital, rent
18 income apartments, these are all capital
19 accounts. This is what I referred to
20 before. To agree the prior year's
21 capital when Mr. Dawley, and I'm making
22 an assumption, closes out the prior year
23 profit loss he charges it to a
24 distribution account. We discussed this
25 before. When we see that we're required

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 198
2 to correct that to make it a partners
3 capital account. That's all that is.
4 Q When you see it you make the
5 automatic signal to say well, this has
6 to go onto a capital account for
7 purposes of closing it out?
8 A We propose -- these are
9 corrections --
10 Q You propose it?
11 A Correct. This is a correction
12 entry. This is not a cash flow issue.
13 Q No, it has nothing to do with the
14 actual cash flow.
15 A It has nothing to do with cash
16 flow.
17 Q It only looks like it's money but
18 it says distribution.
19 A Well, as soon as I saw it, I see
20 it's a credit, I know it's not that.
21 Q So that's that.
22 Let me just take a second here
23 because I want to find my depositions.
24 (A notice to produce with
25 first interrogatories failures

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 199
2 to respond was marked as
3 Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 for
4 identification, as of this
5 date.)
6 MR. HAYWOODE: Let the record
7 reflect that a document which
8 I've just received which is dated
9 12/12/2007, generated and
10 designated as attorney's work
11 product is being given to Mr.
12 Traub and Mr. Kelly at Mr.
13 Traub's request and I don't
14 object to it except to say that
15 although it's work product
16 there's every reason why it
17 should be surrendered.
18 MR. TRAUB: And we appreciate
19 the turnover. I just want to
20 point out that it is directed to
21 Logan Plaza Associates, Fifth and
22 106th Street Associates, Charles
23 Hill Housing and Church Home
24 Associates and the very first
25 sentence of the second paragraph

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 200
2 says this report is intended
3 solely for the information and
4 use of management and others
5 within the organization. If you
6 go to management that would
7 certainly be the Seaveys and
8 Dalton Management and those
9 within the organization being
10 these four so we dispute it being
11 work product but at the same time
12 it appears to be a non issue now
13 since you've turned it over.
14 MR. HAYWOODE: Well, there's a
15 question of who hired Cameron,
16 Griffiths & Pryce but I haven't
17 displeased you; have I?
18 MR. TRAUB: Like I said --
19 MR. HAYWOODE: And I say
20 again, it's my practice that many
21 things, personal injuries,
22 whatever else, I don't withhold
23 documents that are relevant to
24 the issue. Let's see it. And so
25 much for that. But you are an

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 201
 2 excellent counsel, sharp eyes and
 3 intellect.
 4 MR. TRAUB: I'm glad that's on
 5 the record because I plan to show
 6 that in my review at the end of
 7 the year.
 8 MR. HAYWOODE: Why not?
 9 MR. TRAUB: I may even ask you
 10 to notarize that sentence.
 11 MR. KELLY: Can we go off the
 12 record, please?
 13 (Whereupon, a brief discussion
 14 was held off the record.)
 15 MR. HAYWOODE: Let the record
 16 show that this is a document
 17 previously served on both
 18 attorneys, the name of which is
 19 notice to produce with first
 20 interrogatories failures to
 21 respond.
 22 MR. KELLY: Do you have pages
 23 one through five, by any chance?
 24 They don't seem to be attached.
 25 MR. HAYWOODE: No, they're not

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
 800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 202
 2 because they are the introductory
 3 material. You have them.
 4 MR. TRAUB: Can we just put
 5 the first page, the cover sheet
 6 on? I'm okay without pages two
 7 through five but if we could at
 8 least just do the cover sheet I
 9 think that would --
 10 MR. HAYWOODE: Certainly.
 11 MR. TRAUB: We can move
 12 forward while they're copying
 13 that. I don't think that's going
 14 to mess anything up.
 15 Q Mr. Jennings, on July 1 we sent a
 16 request for a notice to produce
 17 interrogatories to all counsel. It was
 18 sent back because we had mentioned or
 19 there was language in it that referred
 20 to another case in the introductory
 21 portion and resubmitted it, I recall,
 22 sometime around July 23 although that
 23 may not be correct and it was presented
 24 to Judge Baer and I believe that was
 25 September 16th or 18th at the scheduling

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
 800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 203
 2 conference.
 3 MR. TRAUB: I'm not sure what
 4 the exact date is but somewhere
 5 around there. That sounds fine.
 6 Q And it recited, you will see, the
 7 questions we've asked and it recited the
 8 unreadiness that we had with the
 9 responses. I just want to go through
 10 them to see if you might supply or know
 11 the answers to any of these questions.
 12 On page six, where it says,
 13 "produce," we asked for copies of all
 14 reports related to REAC inspections for
 15 each of the developments covering the
 16 last five years with all attendant
 17 memoranda and communications.
 18 Are you in possession of any
 19 information concerning these REAC
 20 inspections and evaluations of these
 21 buildings, of the four buildings?
 22 A We are required to as part of our
 23 audit process.
 24 Q Are you able to provide full
 25 documents concerning the history of the

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
 800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 204
 2 REAC circumstance of whatever's going on
 3 there?
 4 A Yes.
 5 MR. HAYWOODE: I would request
 6 of Mr. Kelly that they be
 7 submitted.
 8 MR. KELLY: If I just may ask
 9 my client a question?
 10 (Whereupon, a brief discussion
 11 was held off the record.)
 12 MR. KELLY: I'm ready to
 13 continue.
 14 Q And I call your attention to the
 15 fact that in the underlying part where
 16 we cite our unreadiness we say only the
 17 original findings were produced with no
 18 reinspection or follow up for Lakeview.
 19 No documents were presented, it says
 20 indicting but it means indicating any
 21 curing of the defects in the wake of the
 22 HUD findings.
 23 MR. HAYWOODE: Whenever you
 24 have that you can produce it. We
 25 call for the production. The

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
 800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 205
2 same thing of Darren Traub. If
3 you both have anything more on
4 REAC, if I could see it.
5 Q These were submitted to
6 Magistrate Francis, but I guess when we
7 said that it would be addressed at
8 depositions he said, "well, fine, do the
9 depositions but we can save some time
10 too if you have the documents."
11 The next one is 2; security
12 payroll, \$100,368 paid in 2006. Account
13 number 6530. No security contract was
14 produced and it's paid presumably to a
15 licensed company without benefit of a
16 written contract. If you have such a
17 contract, either of you, I would like to
18 see it. We have a detective agency, a
19 security company that functions here and
20 we won't go near the water without a
21 contract and I'm curious as to who did.
22 And were they licensed?
23 Number 4; workers compensation
24 insurance policy of \$12,000 paid in
25 2006. The actual bill for the policy is

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 206
2 \$9,084.60. If there's some explanation
3 for it we would like to see it.
4 Number 5; building and liability
5 insurance. Were any excess premiums
6 returned? What are the names of the
7 brokers involved? Provide checks and
8 face sheet of policies for which it is
9 alleged prepayments were paid. The
10 general ledger entries are not
11 consistent with documents produced in
12 response to this request. If there's an
13 answer, we'd like to get it.
14 Number 6; support for item number
15 1590, account number 1590, utility
16 deposit for \$4,205. No canceled checks
17 are produced in support of this amount.
18 MR. KELLY: Excuse me for
19 interrupting but are you asking
20 this particular witness any
21 questions or are you just --
22 MR. HAYWOODE: Yes, yes. The
23 question being that if you have
24 any these items or if Mr. Traub
25 has them, we'd like to see them

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 207
2 since July 1st.
3 Q There is also a figure of
4 \$261,639. No canceled checks or other
5 support is offered in verification of
6 this expense. I see it's listed as
7 1900, other assets.
8 Then account 040-2150, accrued
9 real estate tax payable \$37,000.
10 MR. TRAUB: Mel, can we just
11 stipulate that your question is
12 going to be to the extent that
13 the witness has any of the stuff
14 identified in Plaintiff's Exhibit
15 12 that you want him to produce
16 it.
17 MR. HAYWOODE: All right.
18 Q No check or statement is provided
19 to support this expense.
20 MR. HAYWOODE: Off the record.
21 (Whereupon, a brief discussion
22 was held off the record.)
23 Q There's an expense on account
24 payable, account number 040-2110, \$6,747
25 and no support was offered or provided

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 208
2 for this and once again we've made three
3 or four requests for the original
4 agreement for the note payable to Robert
5 Seavey of \$29,915.16.
6 MR. HAYWOODE: Off the record.
7 (Whereupon, a brief discussion
8 was held off the record.)
9 Q We want any support for this.
10 And the other question is why was it
11 necessary to borrow the money from the
12 corporation or for him to advance the
13 money on behalf of the corporation which
14 is the main question, given the fact
15 that it had a positive cash flow.
16 That's what everybody had a question
17 about. That's number one and number two
18 --
19 MR. KELLY: Hold on. Is that
20 a question you want him to try to
21 answer right now?
22 MR. HAYWOODE: Well, can he?
23 A My knowledge of this is it's a
24 misclassification on the general ledger.
25 There is no note payable to Robert

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 209

2 Seavey for \$29,915 that I'm aware of.

3 Q So you're saying that Dalton put

4 that in the general ledger by accident?

5 A Their general ledger shows loan

6 payable general partner. That's where

7 that amount shows up and it is not a

8 loan payable to the general partner.

9 Q Is someone collecting payments?

10 A It has something to do with a

11 section 32 advance under the mortgage

12 that was advanced about probably twelve

13 or fifteen years ago. It was under the

14 materiality limits so we never looked

15 into it.

16 Q Well, I can't imagine anyone but

17 Bob Seavey collecting the payment but

18 maybe he'll tell us more.

19 We've covered the information in

20 number two about the payment of \$100,000

21 under the Marks Paneth & Shron contract.

22 We've asked for the general

23 ledger trial balance reflecting twelve

24 months of transactions for any of the

25 developments from 2006 and 2007 and we

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 210

2 say that the trial balances are not

3 reflected rendering any audit extremely

4 difficult in violation of basic

5 accounting principles and standards. So

6 if somebody's got a book out there for a

7 general ledger for Dalton that has trial

8 balances we'd like to see that book and

9 not the one they pretend to keep, my

10 editorial statement.

11 Citibank account \$1,781, not

12 provided. Explanation for prepaid

13 expenses of \$17,448.25 under 100-1200

14 not provided.

15 Support for the prepaid real

16 estate tax of \$443,810.76 account 100-

17 1200, no checks are provided in support

18 of the payment, only printouts from the

19 Department of Finance with inconsistent

20 figures.

21 Support for the prepaid water

22 sewer of \$333,702.13 account 100-1230,

23 no support tendered for the claim.

24 17; explanation and support for the

25 deferred operating expenses of

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 211

2 \$179,338.03 account 100-1540, no

3 support, details or names of brokers is

4 provided.

5 An expenditure of \$383,872, no

6 invoices were presented or checks to

7 confirm the expenditure. No checks

8 provided as proof of payment of the

9 account.

10 Under 21, a general liability and

11 commercial property insurance policy and

12 premium for June 2005 to June 2007.

13 Policy is presented but the premium

14 shown does not square with the general

15 ledger.

16 Twelve month statements for the

17 money market account-GL account number

18 1115. Also provide the verification

19 contact, the bank address and contact

20 name and telephone number. Nothing was

21 produced in response to this request.

22 Detailed schedule for the

23 distribution of \$322,221, you addressed

24 that here. Number two response to that

25 request for \$322,000, that's satisfied.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 212

2 5; the 2006 audited financial

3 statement disclosed management fees

4 earned of \$76,588. The GL, on the other

5 hand, recorded cash disbursed totaling

6 \$103,323. Please explain and document

7 the journal entries for the difference.

8 Nothing was produced. And the mortgage

9 note and closing statement supporting

10 the mortgage costs, no explanations are

11 provided, and we discussed this before,

12 for the expenses charged which appear

13 co-mingled with unrelated management

14 consultant accruals. Where was the

15 royal abstract refund of excess payment

16 check in the amount of \$29,585.31,

17 deposited by management and how was it

18 employed? Please provide all written

19 requests for payments to Marks Paneth &

20 Shron in excess of \$5,000 of the fees

21 charged for auditing, if there are any

22 and we've covered that before.

23 Now, regarding Logan Plaza we

24 talk about the \$665,185 that you just

25 testified to, Mr. Jennings, and the

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 213
2 \$925,000 is claimed as capital
3 distribution when the actual sum was
4 \$260,000 and you've addressed it.
5 Personnel files to determine how
6 and who at Dalton Management is paid by
7 these four developments and why off side
8 people are being paid requested for:
9 Michael Hill, Joyce Murphy, Cheryl
10 Labelle, Alonzo Rodgers, Joan Mondesir,
11 David Givens and Ronald Dawley. Nothing
12 has been produced.
13 And here's our famous question
14 about the ownership interest of the
15 various parties and we're before Judge
16 Francis and the statement is that we
17 haven't given specific answers but I
18 note that since July 1st no definitive
19 response was provided to our requests
20 setting forth statel the ownership
21 interest, though, Darren Traub, you did
22 give to me the statements indicating the
23 possibility that Mr. Edmonds' interest
24 might be reduced.
25 MR. TRAUB: And I think we did

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 214
2 give you a handwritten statement
3 that our production, specifically
4 with regards to E 1 on page 12.
5 I will state definitively that it
6 was in our document production.
7 MR. HAYWOODE: At some point
8 we're going to ask that the
9 papers be produced and we're
10 going to ask that it be
11 individuated out if it's
12 possible, and produced.
13 MR. TRAUB: It's different
14 than that. It's a handwritten
15 chart. As a matter of fact, I
16 know it was in there because I
17 actually put it on top of the
18 entire box but to make it easier
19 I'll see if I can easily locate
20 it and if I can I will produce it
21 individually.
22 MR. HAYWOODE: I appreciate
23 that and hopefully we will work
24 out all those other concerns.
25 Q. I'm out except for this, Mr.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 215
2 Jennings, with regards to the four
3 developments, it appears on the
4 statements contained in the pleadings
5 and everywhere else, it doesn't appear
6 that Dalton Management is really keeping
7 the books and records of these
8 developments; is that not a fact?
9 A. No, that is not the fact.
10 Q. By the number of excessive
11 journal entries and changes which Dalton
12 Management appears not conversant with
13 and not to really be interested in and
14 which they don't amend their general
15 ledgers concerning it would appear that
16 the Dalton Management people have
17 assumed and concluded that these records
18 are being kept and maintained by Marks
19 Paneth & Shron and not by Dalton in any
20 respect; is that not a fact?
21 A. Not a fact.
22 Q. Now, you're not just saying that
23 because Mr. Traub was shaking his head
24 no, right?
25 A. I didn't even notice. We don't

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 216
2 keep general ledgers. We don't keep
3 accounts payable schedules. We don't
4 keep tenant rent rolls. We do not keep
5 paid bills. We do not approve bills.
6 We don't sign checks.
7 Q. And it is not a fact that if we
8 were to inquire into every building
9 where Dalton exists, the fourteen or
10 whatever the number is and where you
11 audit for Dalton it is not a fact that
12 we would find the same laissez faire, I
13 don't really give a darn attitude in
14 Dalton and that all issues and questions
15 are going to be given to Marks Paneth &
16 Shron for response; that is not a fact?
17 MR. TRAUB: Objection to form.
18 It's argumentative. If you're
19 going to characterize it as
20 laissez faire and go completely
21 against his testimony and records
22 of the entire day then that's
23 argumentative.
24 MR. HAYWOODE: That's why the
25 witness said they were lazy. I

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 217

2 sort of cleaned it up a little

3 bit and said they were picking

4 daffodils.

5 MR. TRAUB: Not with regards

6 to record keeping he did not,

7 Mel. His comment, in matter of

8 fact, and the court reporter can

9 read it back, his comment that

10 they were lazy was when the fact

11 that rather than look for a

12 document to produce to Cameron,

13 Griffiths & Pryce that they refer

14 them over to Marks Paneth &

15 Shron. It certainly was not with

16 respect to their bookkeeping, to

17 their accounting, to their

18 general ledger, maintenance or to

19 making any recommendations or

20 journal entries to the extent

21 though that that was what you

22 were implying.

23 MR. HAYWOODE: Your argument

24 is to the affect that Dalton had

25 those books all along.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 218

2 MR. TRAUB: I'm not making any

3 argument. My argument is that

4 your question is inappropriate.

5 MR. HAYWOODE: But the case

6 suggests a strong likelihood that

7 they had none of it and didn't

8 care.

9 MR. TRAUB: Not only is that

10 not the evidence but that's not

11 what the testimony of today was.

12 MR. KELLY: I join in the

13 objection.

14 MR. TRAUB: I have some

15 questions if you're done.

16 MR. HAYWOODE: You're going to

17 redirect?

18 MR. TRAUB: Yes, it's not my

19 witness. I'm actually going to do

20 direct. This is not my witness.

21 EXAMINATION BY

22 MR. TRAUB:

23 Q Mr. Jennings, I just have a few

24 questions for you.

25 You testified earlier that it is

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 219

2 not unusual for a client to refer

3 accounting or auditing questions to

4 Marks Paneth & Shron, is that correct?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q Is it fair to say it's almost

7 standard practice with some of your

8 clients?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And I believe earlier you

11 testified, and you'll correct my numbers

12 --

13 MR. HAYWOODE: My Objection is

14 to the leading nature of the

15 question but go ahead.

16 MR. TRAUB: He's not my

17 witness. I can cross examine and

18 lead him, Mel. You know the

19 rules. This is not my witness.

20 MR. HAYWOODE: I don't believe

21 you can lead a codefendant but go

22 ahead.

23 MR. TRAUB: It's not my party.

24 This is not my witness, Mel.

25 Q As a matter of fact, I believe

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 220

2 you testified that out of roughly 236 of

3 your clients probably 200 would do

4 something like this?

5 A Absolutely.

6 MR. HAYWOODE: Well, note my

7 objection because I don't recall

8 that testimony at all but go

9 ahead.

10 Q Was that your earlier testimony?

11 A Yes, I referred to how many

12 clients I had, yes.

13 Q Thank you.

14 Earlier you also testified that

15 Marks Paneth & Shron receives roughly

16 \$300,000 in retainer and you testified

17 that it's large but not a substantial

18 amount; is that correct?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q What would Marks Paneth & Shron

21 consider to be a substantial amount?

22 MR. KELLY: Objection. I'm

23 instructing the witness not to

24 answer. Their billings are not

25 relevant to this.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 221

2 Q I'm not asking for a specific

3 client, I'm not even asking for a

4 specific retainer that you received but

5 if you say that something is not

6 substantial, what would you consider to

7 be substantial?

8 A I don't know if I used the word

9 substantial. I might have said material

10 to the firm as a whole. I would

11 probably use \$800,000.

12 Q If you can turn with me to

13 Exhibit 4.

14 MR. KELLY: I think that may

15 be one that you took back, Mel,

16 but I have a copy here that I'm

17 willing to give the witness

18 instead.

19 MR. TRAUB: Thank you.

20 Q Are you with me on Exhibit 4?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Can you turn to the second

23 general paragraph, it's the 100

24 accounting?

25 A Yes.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 223

2 auditing expense?

3 A You know, I have to be honest,

4 it's cut off. I don't know.

5 Q Is it possible that that's

6 various tax projections?

7 A Yes.

8 MR. HAYWOODE: Objection that

9 anything is possible.

10 Q Would that be considered to be an

11 auditing expense?

12 A No.

13 MR. HAYWOODE: Let me see if I

14 can save time here. The witness

15 was shown a Bill of August 28,

16 2002 which generated the money

17 that you're talking about.

18 MR. TRAUB: No, that's not

19 correct. What that bill that you

20 showed him --

21 MR. HAYWOODE: It stated that

22 it was billed in 2002,

23 MR. TRAUB: No, that was my

24 objection at that point. The

25 bill you showed him was October

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 222

2 Q Go with me to the fifth line item

3 down, the one that's 2001 to 2004 tax

4 analysis.

5 A Uh-huh.

6 Q Is it possible that that was tax

7 analysis performed on the years 2001 and

8 2004 that occurred either in 2005 or

9 2006 and not necessarily tax analysis

10 that was performed in 2001?

11 MR. HAYWOODE: My objection

12 that anything is possible but go

13 ahead.

14 A It is possible, yes.

15 Q Is that considered an auditing

16 expense?

17 A No.

18 Q With respect to 2003 tax prep and

19 I presume that's tax preparation, is

20 that considered to be an auditing

21 expense?

22 A No.

23 Q And if you go down to the next

24 line July 5 through June of 2006,

25 various PR. Is that considered an

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 224

2 2000 through July 2002 and that

3 was \$15,000. That's not the line

4 items that I pointed out.

5 MR. HAYWOODE: Well, all

6 right. You'll mark it into

7 evidence as number 13 then.

8 MR. TRAUB: My point is

9 there's no way that it could have

10 been because that line item is

11 July '05 through June of '06, and

12 again --

13 MR. HAYWOODE: The witness

14 answered this question and said

15 yes.

16 MR. TRAUB: No, Mel, he did

17 not.

18 Maybe this is kind of the

19 issue that we have going for this

20 is that the accounting questions

21 were not accurate and that was my

22 objection, as a matter of fact,

23 when you did this specific

24 question.

25 Q With respect to the next one, the

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 225

2 October 2000 through July 2002, various

3 PR, is that an auditing expense?

4 A This is the managing agent's

5 description.

6 Q Okay.

7 A I have to -- I can't --

8 Q Without looking at something

9 different?

10 A It is possible that I had nothing

11 to do with this billing personally.

12 Q What would you look at to

13 determine what this actually refers to?

14 A I'd have to look at the actual

15 invoice.

16 Q With respect to the next line

17 item, IRS tax examination, would that be

18 considered an auditing expense?

19 A No, that was a three year tax

20 examination.

21 Q Were any of these items that

22 you've just listed that were not

23 auditing expenses performed at the

24 request of John Edmonds?

25 A I know one was, yes.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 226

2 Q Which one was that?

3 A The 2001 to 2004 tax analysis.

4 Q The fact that all of these are

5 appearing in the balance sheet and if

6 you look under where the posted date,

7 does that indicate the date that a check

8 would have been paid or wired?

9 A They're not on the balance sheet.

10 Q I'm sorry, what is this?

11 A The general ledgers.

12 Q I'm sorry, the fact that these

13 are in the general ledger and have a

14 posted date does that reflect that these

15 were actually payments made within 2006?

16 A Yes, I believe so.

17 Q So then the amount of \$108,525.45

18 would not have been due and owed to

19 Marks Paneth & Shron at the time that

20 Marks Paneth & Shron did the auditing of

21 the 2006 books; is that correct?

22 A That's correct.

23 MR. HAYWOODE: Objection.

24 Q Mr. Haywoode showed you earlier

25 that there was a statement that \$15,000

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 227

2 was still reflected as an invoice due

3 from 2002. Did the fact that \$15,000

4 may have been due to Marks Paneth &

5 Shron influence or color your judgment

6 or Marks Paneth & Shron's judgment with

7 respect to its work or auditing

8 performed for the management company or

9 any of the projects in 2003?

10 A Absolutely not.

11 Q Same question for 2004.

12 A Absolutely not.

13 Q Same question for 2005.

14 A Absolutely not.

15 Q And same question for 2006.

16 A Absolutely not.

17 Q You also pointed out that you

18 discovered an overage and at times some

19 other problems during your auditing.

20 When you discovered those overage or

21 problems did you bring that to Dalton's

22 attention?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Did you make recommendations on

25 how to fix the issues that you

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 228

2 discovered?

3 A We asserted that it must be paid

4 back.

5 Q Do you know whether or not it was

6 paid back?

7 A I don't know for a fact.

8 Q Would you look somewhere to

9 determine for a fact whether or not it

10 was paid back?

11 A It would have been a finding in

12 question cost on the financial if it

13 wasn't paid back and it might have been

14 a finding in question cost even it

15 wasn't paid back, the fact that it

16 occurred.

17 Q And did that occur with respect

18 to the issue Church the \$39,000 that we

19 pointed out I believe in Exhibit 9, in

20 other words, with the overcharges you

21 found reflected in Exhibit 9, you made

22 recommendations on how to rectify the

23 overage payments?

24 A If we discovered it during audit

25 and at that point of discovery we would

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 229

2 compile it in a management letter and or
3 make an assertion in the internal
4 control portion of the financial
5 statements.

6 Q After you do an audit and you
7 make certain recommendations on
8 reclassification of general ledger
9 items, does that reclassification change
10 or affect the bottom line in any way?

11 A It may.

12 Q What do you mean, "it may," In
13 what circumstance would it?

14 A In the circumstance of real
15 estate taxes or water and sewer. If
16 there was a payment made December 31st
17 that really pertains to the prospective
18 period that would be a proposed journal
19 entry to reclass to prepaid expenses.

20 Q Do you know if any such
21 reclassification with respect to the
22 example that you just gave occurred with
23 any of the partnerships or Dalton
24 Management?

25 A It's possible and those payments

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 230

2 are a matter of public record because
3 it's on the Internet.

4 Q But with the reclassifications
5 that we saw today, in other words,
6 changing auditing to management or
7 changing without giving any specifics
8 some of the changes referred on
9 Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, would any of that
10 affect the bottom line?

11 A You have to look at the specific
12 journal entries. Like the one that we
13 referred to when we were talking about,
14 when we got into the discussion about
15 shoes, that had no effect on the profit
16 and loss, the bottom line.

17 Q What about the changing of fee
18 that was listed as audit to management
19 consultant fee; would that have changed
20 the bottom line?

21 A No effect on the bottom one.

22 Q If no one went back and
23 reclassified the general ledger items
24 pursuant to Marks Paneth & Shron's
25 recommendations and instructions and yet

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 231

2 they were still given the financial
3 statements that showed where the
4 suggestions and instructions came from,
5 could you perform an audit on the books
6 and records?

7 MR. KELLY: I'm going to
8 object to the extent that the
9 question had recommendations and
10 instructions and provided that
11 instructions is removed from the
12 question, I'll let the question
13 stand.

14 MR. TRAUB: I'll restate it.

15 Q You've testified earlier that
16 sometimes when going through the audit
17 that Marks Paneth & Shron would make
18 recommendations as to reclassifications
19 of certain general ledger items; is that
20 correct?

21 MR. HAYWOODE: Mr. Traub,
22 we're going beyond the scope of
23 any redirect of what I did. Is
24 this your examination?

25 MR. TRAUB: This is exactly

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 232

2 what your questions were. This
3 is directed directly to you: --

4 MR. HAYWOODE: You can go on
5 for another hour and a half if
6 you want but this should be your
7 examination then.

8 MR. TRAUB: I'm entitled to
9 ask this witness questions.

10 MR. HAYWOODE: Go ahead.

11 MR. TRAUB: Thank you.

12 Q Even if the general ledger items
13 had not been made pursuant to Marks
14 Paneth & Shron's recommendations is it
15 still possible to conduct an audit on
16 the books and records of the company?

17 A It's a confusing question.

18 Q Let me ask you in another way.

19 Marks Paneth & Shron made certain
20 recommendations as to changes of general
21 ledger items. If those
22 reclassifications did not occur in the
23 actual general ledger but someone
24 conducting an audit had, say, for
25 example, the financial statement

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 233

2 reflected in Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 and

3 similar documents showing where the

4 recommendations were, could one still

5 conduct an audit?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And you testified earlier that

8 you had had discussions with Cameron,

9 Griffiths & Pryce about some of the

10 various letters and question that they

11 had directed to at first Dalton

12 Management and then Marks Paneth & Shron

13 and you even had invited, I believe,

14 Orley to talk to you. Did he ever take

15 you up on that offer?

16 A No.

17 Q In your opinion had they taken

18 you up on that offer do you think that

19 you could have walked them through some

20 of their --

21 MR. HAYWOODE: Objection.

22 Speculative.

23 Q -- questions and answers?

24 A Absolutely.

25 Q Do you or anyone at Marks Paneth

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 234

2 & Shron make any management decisions

3 for either Dalton Management or the four

4 partnerships at issue here?

5 A Absolutely not.

6 Q Are you or anyone at Marks Paneth

7 & Shron employed by either Dalton

8 Management or the four partnerships at

9 issue here?

10 A No.

11 Q Are you or are anyone at Marks

12 Paneth & Shron an officer or director or

13 manager of either Dalton Management or

14 any of the four companies at issue here?

15 A No.

16 Q Has anyone at Dalton Management

17 or either of the four partnerships ever

18 asked you or anyone at Marks Paneth &

19 Shron to forge, doctor or otherwise

20 interface fraudulent information into an

21 audit or the books and records of any of

22 the partnerships?

23 A No, not to my knowledge.

24 Q Have you or anyone at Marks

25 Paneth & Shron, to your knowledge, ever

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 235

2 suggested or volunteered that you would

3 cook, doctor or forge some of the books?

4 A Absolutely not.

5 Q Are you aware of any monies or

6 payments that are funneled through

7 Dalton Management, say, out of these

8 four partnerships into any other

9 companies?

10 A No.

11 MR. TRAUB: I have nothing

12 further.

13 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY

14 MR. HAYWOODE:

15 MR. HAYWOODE: I'll have this

16 marked as Exhibit 13. I showed

17 it to you before.

18 (A bill from Marks Paneth &

19 Shron dated 8/28/02 was marked

20 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 for

21 identification, as of this

22 date.)

23 Q Let me show you Exhibit 13 for

24 identification which is the bill from

25 Marks Paneth & Shron of August 28, 2002

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 236

2 that I referred you to in your previous

3 testimony which indicated that the

4 monies which were paid in 2006 were in

5 fact billed by Marks Paneth & Shron on

6 August 28, 2002; do you recall looking

7 at this previously, sir?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And it is a fact that whatever is

10 reflected in that bill was billed in

11 2002; is that correct?

12 A It appears so, yes.

13 Q And that it wasn't until 2006

14 without regard to accruing money and

15 what not that the actual money was paid

16 to Marks Paneth & Shron; is that

17 correct?

18 A It appears so, yes.

19 Q And now, finally, was this money

20 accrued in 2002 in the report?

21 A No.

22 Q Is there a reason why it wasn't

23 accrued?

24 A It could have been several

25 reasons. It could have been overlooked,

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 237
2 it's below materiality limit that we
3 set, Fifth and 106th Street has a much
4 higher materiality limit, it could have
5 been billed by another partner who set
6 up a separate account if they were
7 performing other services. There are
8 several possibilities.
9 Q Now, you have a minimum of
10 fourteen and possibly more developments
11 in which Marks Paneth & Shron or Mr.
12 Shron in his life alone have represented
13 the Seavey group since 1973 or
14 thereabout and you say that the total
15 income from all of those developments is
16 \$300,000?
17 MR. KELLY: Objection.
18 Total income since 1973?
19 MR. HAYWOOD: No.
20 Q Presently it's \$300,000 for all
21 of those developments?
22 A Approximately.
23 Q From all fourteen or possibly the
24 others where you're servicing the
25 Seaveys \$300,000?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 239
2 or sixteen. I know of at least one.
3 There are quite a few others but they're
4 not fifteen or sixteen.
5 Q So nobody else as large?
6 A I have larger accounts.
7 Q There may be one.
8 A I have larger accounts but
9 they're --
10 Q Well, as these accounts go, this
11 is a large and substantial account,
12 isn't it?
13 MR. KELLY: Objection.
14 Misstates prior testimony.
15 Q As these accounts go, this is a
16 large and substantial account, is it
17 not?
18 MR. KELLY: Same objection.
19 A To the firm or to me personally?
20 Q To you personally.
21 A Not particularly. I have
22 \$3,000,000 worth of billings.
23 Q And the account then is not of
24 such a nature that your servicing of it
25 in so many ways for so long a time might

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 238
2 A That sounds about right.
3 Q It isn't in excess of \$500,000?
4 A I don't believe so.
5 Q Is there any other client that
6 you have that you're defending fourteen
7 to fifteen to sixteen large housing
8 developments for that you're servicing?
9 A Yes.
10 Q You as a partner?
11 MR. KELLY: I'm going to
12 caution the --
13 Q Do you have any other clients --
14 MR. KELLY: I'm going to
15 caution the witness not to
16 divulge any confidential
17 information about specific
18 clients but he may answer the
19 question in a general sense.
20 Q I don't need to know the name or
21 the name of any fifteen of them but how
22 many such clients do you have that have
23 sixteen, seventeen developments, you
24 individually as a partner?
25 A That I review that have fifteen

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 240
2 impair your individual action in terms
3 of the service being provided?
4 A You don't know me very well.
5 Absolutely not. I have a higher
6 authority to deal with.
7 Q And the amount of money generated
8 by this firm and by the individuals and
9 I'm assuming now that the billings we're
10 talking about are not just the service
11 contracts or the audits but all the
12 accessory things that you do for these
13 buildings, that amount of money could
14 not persuade you to provide the auditing
15 services and the accounting services for
16 these developments?
17 A Can you repeat that?
18 Q I said that the large amount of
19 money involved doesn't tempt Marks
20 Paneth & Shron, you and your associates,
21 to perform the accounting services as
22 well as the auditing services on these
23 buildings?
24 A I swear to God on my children,
25 absolutely not.

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

241

Q Well, God and your children not being a part of this --

A You know, you've asked it three times. I answered you three times. You are questioning my integrity and I take exception to that. I said absolutely not. We're a \$96 million firm. I have been a partner. I have been with the firm for thirty one years and my reputation is much more important to me than any accounting fees. I would rather walk away from a job, which I've done several times, if there was any defalcation or integrity issue. I do a lot of volunteer work, okay. I save a lot of people's lives, okay, a lot of people's lives pro bono and I take pride in my integrity.

Q On the GAGAS, sir --

A That is what I am about.

Q On the GAGAS wouldn't it be wise for Marks Paneth & Shron to walk away from these accounts for a little time under the GAGAS provisions?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

242

A No.

MR. HAYWOODE: No further questions.

MR. KELLY: I'm just going to clarify something that was brought up on your questioning.

EXAMINATION BY

MR. KELLY:

Q Referring to Exhibit 13, do you see the date of this invoice?

A Yes.

Q What's the date of this invoice?

A August 28, 2002.

Q And on the last page of this exhibit do you see the entries for the totals, the first one being \$115,000?

A Yes.

Q Do you see the two entries below that?

A Yes.

Q Do you see that those two entries are in brackets?

A Yes.

Q What do those brackets mean?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

243

A Credits.

Q What do you mean by credits?

A I don't know. It's not detailed.

Q When you say, "credits," do you mean that it either this amount was paid or some other event happened such that that amount was no longer due?

A First of all, this bill doesn't add up \$115,000.

Q I'll represent to you we've taken out the middle pages to be as of reference.

A Oh, okay. I can't say. I'd have to look at the original documentation if we still have it when I was billed back in 2002.

MR. HAYWOODE: If the witness wishes to amend this record upon consulting his own files, I have no problem.

Q And the bottom line number on this exhibit of \$15,000, does that mean in accordance with this invoice \$15,000 was due?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

W. H. Jennings

244

A Yes.

Q It does not mean \$115,505 is due as one of the numbers above that indicates?

A That's correct.

MR. KELLY: I have no further questions.

MR. TRAUB: I just have one quick follow up.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY

MR. TRAUB:

Q When I asked you whether or not if \$15,000 on the book colored your judgment in 2003, 4, 5 and 6 and you testified, "no," you were understanding I was referring to this \$15,000 that was showed to you earlier; is that correct?

MR. HAYWOODE: Objection to the fact that it's leading and suggesting and based on the facts the payment was \$105,000 and some portion of it was billed in 1982 and that carried over.

Q You can still answer my questions

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 245

2 since that had nothing to do with it.

3 Did you understand that when I

4 asked you whether \$15,000 being on the

5 books and records from 2003 through 2006

6 would color your judgment you understood

7 that I was referring to this \$15,000; is

8 that correct.

9 A I didn't understand that, no,

10 but, yes, that could not.

11 Q Thank you.

12 MR. HAYWOODE: Whereupon, the

13 deposition of this witness has

14 concluded.

15 MR. EDMONDS: Except with my

16 counsel's permission I would like

17 to ask one or two questions of

18 Mr. Jennings.

19 MR. HAYWOODE: I will

20 certainly consent. He is an

21 attorney.

22 MR. TRAUB: Is he an attorney

23 of record in this case though?

24 MR. HAYWOODE: He is a party.

25 MR. KELLY: That doesn't make

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 246

2 him entitled to ask questions of

3 a defendant.

4 Off the record.

5 (Whereupon, a brief discussion

6 was held off the record.)

7 MR. KELLY: Mr. Edmonds has

8 asked to ask the witness

9 questions. I have agreed to

10 listen to the question on the

11 condition that my previous

12 stipulation regarding holding

13 objections is suspended during

14 this brief examination and I,

15 again, counsel my witness to wait

16 until I have a chance to hear the

17 question before answering.

18 MR. EDMONDS: Does the

19 accounting firm that you are a

20 partner in prepare annual tax

21 returns for Robert Seavey or any

22 member of the Seavey family or

23 ABNS Corporation, that is annual

24 tax returns, both the federal,

25 state and the city?

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 247

2 MR. KELLY: I'll let the

3 witness answer that question.

4 THE WITNESS: We have nothing

5 to do with Phyllis Seavey's

6 personal return. We have nothing

7 to do with Robert Seavey's

8 personal return. We have nothing

9 to do with the Seavey and Seavey

10 organization. We have nothing to

11 do with Neale Seavey's personal

12 return. The only personal return

13 that I prepared is Avery Seavey

14 and that's only in the last two

15 years because he developed a

16 construction company.

17 MR. EDMONDS: When you say,

18 personal return of Avery Seavey,

19 is it under the caption or under

20 the name of the firm ABNS

21 Corporation?

22 THE WITNESS: No.

23 MR. EDMONDS: Just it's under

24 the name Avery Seavey?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

1 W. H. Jennings 248

2 correct. Only because I've only

3 been doing him the last two

4 years.

5 MR. EDMONDS: Thank you very

6 much.

7 MR. HAYWOODE: Whereupon the

8 deposition is concluded.

9 -o0o-

10 (Whereupon, the examination of

11 William H. Jennings was concluded

12 at 5:19 p.m.)

13

14

15 WILLIAM H. JENNINGS

16

17 Subscribed and sworn to

18 before me this _____ day

19 of _____, 2009.

20

21 NOTARY PUBLIC

22

23

24

25

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

249

I N D E X

<u>WITNESS</u>	<u>EXAMINATION BY</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
William Jennings	Mr. Haywoode	5, 235
	Mr. Traub	218, 244
	Mr. Kelly	242

EXHIBITS

<u>PLAINTIFFS'</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. Letter dated 5/10/07 to Dalton Management	40
2. May 15th response from Mr. Jennings to Mr. Dawley	40
3. Certified annual financial and operating report	64
4. Cumulative general ledger	64
5. Financial statement	96
6. Request list to Marks Paneth & Shron from Cameron, Griffiths & Pryce	110
7. Church Home Associates deferred mortgage costs	151
8. Invoice to Dalton Management from Marks Paneth & Shron	151

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

250

(Index Continued)

EXHIBITS

<u>PLAINTIFFS'</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
9. Church Home Associates managing fee overage calculation	151
10. Logan Plaza Associates adjusting journal entries report	192
11. Caanan IV distributions for year ending 12/31/06	192
12. Notice to produce with first interrogatories failure to respond	199
13. Bill from Marks Paneth & Shron 8/22/02	235

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Proposal for journal entry change	72
Documents identified in Plaintiff's Exhibit 12	204

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

251

(Index Continued)

INSERTS

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Names of all developments serviced by Marks Paneth & Shron with regard to Seaveys	16
Names of accounts receivable clerks	84

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

252

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SIMA D. LOWY, a Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the witness(es) whose testimony is hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn by me, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by such witness(es).

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

SIMA D. LOWY

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085

The following are my corrections to the attached transcript:

[illegible]

LEX REPORTING SERVICE
800-608-6085